

Heavy flavor baryon spectra via QCD sum rules

Jian-Rong Zhang and Ming-Qiu Huang

Department of Physics, National University of Defense Technology, Hunan 410073, China

In this talk, we give a short review of our recent works on studying the singly heavy baryon, doubly heavy baryon, and triply heavy baryon spectra from QCD sum rules.

PACS numbers: 14.20.-c, 11.55.Hx, 12.38.Lg

I. INTRODUCTION

The heavy baryon is a exciting and remarkable topic nowadays. Experimentally, the field of heavy hadron spectroscopy is experiencing a rapid advancement and plenty of heavy baryons have already been observed up to now [1, 2]. The feasibility of doubly and triply heavy baryons investigated at the Large Hadron Collider (with the design luminosity values of $\mathcal{L} = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$) was presented in some works, for instance, Refs. [3, 4]. Theoretically, various models have been utilized to compute heavy baryon masses, such as quark models [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10], mass formulas [11, 12], lattice QCD stimulations [13, 14], and other approaches [15, 16, 17]. One can also resort to a vigorous and reliable working tool in hadron physics, the QCD sum rules, which are still being actively used judging by the near 3500 and growing citations of the seminal papers [18] of M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov. The method is a nonperturbative analytic formalism firmly entrenched in QCD (for reviews see [19, 20] and references therein). QCD sum rules for baryons [21] suggested by B. L. Ioffe generalize the method from the mesonic states to the baryonic cases. With QCD sum rules, heavy baryon masses were primarily calculated by E. V. Shuryak in heavy quark limit [22], and subsequently in the Heavy Quark Effective Theory by some theorists, for example, A. G. Grozin, Y. B. Dai, S. Groote etc. [23, 24, 25, 26, 27]. There also have many works been done basing on the full theory by E. Bagan, V. V. Kiselev, T. M. Aliev, M. Nielsen etc. [28, 29, 30, 31], as well as our studies on singly [32, 33], doubly [34], and triply heavy baryon spectra [35] from QCD sum rules. Presently, we would like to briefly review our those works and make some discussions.

The content of the review is as follows. In Sec. II, the main results are collected in comparison with experimental data and other approaches, followed by some discussions. Section III contains a concise summary and outlook.

II. HEAVY BARYONS IN QCD SUM RULES

The QCD sum rule approach devotes to bridge the gap between the perturbative and nonperturbative sectors by employing the language of dispersion relations and represents an attempt to link the hadron phenomenology with the interactions of quarks and gluons. There are three leading ingredients for this method: a phenomenological description of the correlator, a theoretical description of the same correlator via an operator product expansion (OPE), and a procedure for matching these two descriptions and extracting the parameters that characterize the hadronic state of interest. Meanwhile, the QCD sum rule accuracy is limited by a very complicated hadronic dispersion integrals and by the approximations in the OPE of the correlator. The basic point of this method is the choice of appropriate interpolating current. In a tentative diquark-quark picture for the singly heavy baryon qqQ system, the Q orbits the qq pair. For the ground states, the currents are correlated with the spin-parity quantum numbers 0^+ and 1^+ for the qq diquark system, along with the heavy quark Q forming the state with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ and the pair of

degenerate states. For the latter case, the qq diquark has spin 1, and the spin of the third quark is either parallel, $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$, or antiparallel, $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$, to the diquark. Similarly, one could assume the $(QQ) - q$ configuration for doubly heavy baryon QQq and $(QQ) - Q'$ for triply heavy baryon QQQ' , respectively. Thereby, we principally adopt the similar forms of Ioffe currents discussed minutely in Refs. [21, 22], with

$$\begin{aligned}
j_{\Lambda_Q} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k q_{2b}) \Gamma'_k Q_c, \\
j_{\Lambda_{1Q}} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k q_{2b}) \Gamma'_k Q_c, \\
j_{\Lambda_{1Q}^*} &= \varepsilon_{abc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [2(q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k q_{2c} + (q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k q_{2b}) \Gamma'_k Q_c], \\
j_{\Sigma_Q} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k q_{2b}) \Gamma'_k Q_c, \\
j_{\Sigma_Q^*} &= \varepsilon_{abc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [2(q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k q_{2c} + (q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k q_{2b}) \Gamma'_k Q_c], \\
j_{\Xi_Q} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k s_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c, \\
j_{\Xi_{1Q}} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k s_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c, \\
j_{\Xi_{1Q}^*} &= \varepsilon_{abc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [(q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k s_c + (q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k s_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c], \\
j_{\Xi'_Q} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k s_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c, \\
j_{\Xi'^*_Q} &= \varepsilon_{abc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [2(q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k s_c + (q_{1a}^T C \Gamma_k s_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c], \\
j_{\Omega_Q} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(s_a^T C \Gamma_k s_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c, \\
j_{\Omega_Q^*} &= \varepsilon_{abc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [2(s_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k s_c + (s_a^T C \Gamma_k s_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c],
\end{aligned}$$

for singly heavy baryons,

$$\begin{aligned}
j_{\Xi_{QQ}} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k q_c, \\
j_{\Xi_{QQ}^*} &= \varepsilon_{abc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [2(q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c + (Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k q_c], \\
j_{\Omega_{QQ}} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k s_c, \\
j_{\Omega_{QQ}^*} &= \varepsilon_{abc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [2(s_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c + (Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k s_c], \\
j_{\Xi_{QQ'}} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q'_b) \Gamma'_k q_c, \\
j_{\Xi_{QQ'}^*} &= \varepsilon_{abc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [(q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k Q'_c + (q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q'_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c + (Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k q_c], \\
j_{\Omega_{QQ'}} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q'_b) \Gamma'_k s_c, \\
j_{\Omega_{QQ'}^*} &= \varepsilon_{abc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [(s_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k Q'_c + (s_a^T C \Gamma_k Q'_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c + (Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k s_c], \\
j_{\Xi'_{QQ'}} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q'_b) \Gamma'_k q_c, \\
j_{\Omega'_{QQ'}} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q'_b) \Gamma'_k s_c,
\end{aligned}$$

for doubly heavy baryons, and

$$\begin{aligned}
j_{\Omega_{QQQ}} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c, \\
j_{\Omega_{QQQ'}} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k Q'_c, \\
j_{\Omega_{QQQ'}^*} &= \varepsilon_{abc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [2(Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q'_b) \Gamma'_k Q_c + (Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k Q'_c], \\
j_{\Omega'_{QQQ'}} &= \varepsilon_{abc}(Q_a^T C \Gamma_k Q_b) \Gamma'_k Q'_c,
\end{aligned}$$

for triply heavy baryons. Here the index T means matrix transposition, C is the charge conjugation matrix, a , b , and c are color indices, Q and Q' denote heavy quarks, and q is u or d . The choice of Γ_k and Γ'_k matrices are listed in TABLE I.

TABLE I: The choice of Γ_k and Γ'_k matrices in baryonic currents. The index d in S_d , L_d , and $J_d^{P_d}$ means diquark. $\{..\}$ denotes the diquark in the axial vector state, and $[..]$ denotes the diquark in the scalar state.

Baryon	quark content	J^P	S_d	L_d	$J_d^{P_d}$	Γ_k	Γ'_k
Λ_Q	$[qq]Q$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	γ_5	1
Λ_{1Q}	$[qq]Q$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	0	1	1^-	γ_5	γ_μ
Λ_{1Q}^*	$[qq]Q$	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	0	1	1^-	γ_5	γ_μ
Σ_Q	$\{qq\}Q$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	$\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$
Σ_Q^*	$\{qq\}Q$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	$\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$
Ξ_Q	$[qs]Q$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	γ_5	1
Ξ_{1Q}	$[qs]Q$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	0	1	1^-	γ_5	γ_μ
Ξ_{1Q}^*	$[qs]Q$	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	0	1	1^-	γ_5	γ_μ
Ξ_Q'	$\{qs\}Q$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	$\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$
Ξ_Q^*	$\{qs\}Q$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	$\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$
Ω_Q	$\{ss\}Q$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	$\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$
Ω_Q^*	$\{ss\}Q$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	$\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$
Ξ_{QQ}	$\{QQ\}q$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	$\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$
Ξ_{QQ}^*	$\{QQ\}q$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	1
Ω_{QQ}	$\{QQ\}s$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	$\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$
Ω_{QQ}^*	$\{QQ\}s$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	1
$\Xi_{QQ'}$	$\{QQ'\}q$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	$\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$
$\Xi_{QQ'}^*$	$\{QQ'\}q$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	1
$\Omega_{QQ'}$	$\{QQ'\}s$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	$\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$
$\Omega_{QQ'}^*$	$\{QQ'\}s$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	1
$\Xi_{QQ'}'$	$[QQ']q$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	γ_5	1
$\Omega_{QQ'}'$	$[QQ']s$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	γ_5	1
Ω_{QQQ}	$\{QQ\}Q$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	1
$\Omega_{QQQ'}$	$\{QQ\}Q'$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	$\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$
$\Omega_{QQQ'}^*$	$\{QQ\}Q'$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	γ_μ	1
$\Omega_{QQQ'}'$	$[QQ]Q'$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	γ_5	1

Concretely, coming down to the mass sum rules for the singly heavy baryon as an example, the starting point is the two-point correlator

$$\Pi(q^2) = i \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T[j(x) \bar{j}(0)] | 0 \rangle. \quad (1)$$

Lorentz covariance implies that the correlator (1) has the form

$$\Pi(q^2) = \not{q} \Pi_1(q^2) + \Pi_2(q^2). \quad (2)$$

For each invariant function Π_1 and Π_2 , a sum rule can be obtained.

In the phenomenology side, the correlator can be expressed as a dispersion integral over a physical spectral function

$$\Pi(q^2) = \lambda_H^2 \frac{\not{q} + M_H}{M_H^2 - q^2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_0}^{\infty} ds \frac{\text{Im} \Pi^{\text{phen}}(s)}{s - q^2} + \text{subtractions}, \quad (3)$$

where M_H denotes the heavy baryon mass.

In the OPE side, the correlator can be written in terms of a dispersion relation as

$$\Pi_i(q^2) = \int_{m_Q^2}^{\infty} ds \frac{\rho_i(s)}{s - q^2}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (4)$$

After equating the two sides, assuming quark-hadron duality, making a Borel transform, and eliminating the baryon coupling constant λ_H , the sum rules can be written as,

$$M_H^2 = \int_{m_Q^2}^{s_0} ds \rho_i(s) s e^{-s/M^2} / \int_{m_Q^2}^{s_0} ds \rho_i(s) e^{-s/M^2}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (5)$$

For brevity, more detailed descriptions of the calculation procedures will not be iterated here, which can be found in Refs. [32, 33, 34, 35]. The final results are collected together with the available experimental data and other theoretical predictions in Tables II-IV. It is worth noting that uncertainty in our results are merely due to the sum rule windows, not involving the ones rooting in the variation of the quark masses and QCD parameters. Note that the QCD $O(\alpha_s)$ corrections are not covered in these works. However, it is expected that the QCD $O(\alpha_s)$ corrections might be under control since a partial cancelation occurs in the ratio obtaining the mass sum rules. This has been proved to be true in the analysis for the singly heavy baryons (the radiative corrections to the perturbative terms increase the calculated baryon masses by about 10%) in Ref. [24] and for the heavy mesons (the value of f_D increases by 12% after the inclusion of the $O(\alpha_s)$ correction) in Ref. [36]. Although the mass values for doubly heavy baryons are consistent with other theoretical predictions, some of the absolute differences from them are not small, for instance, the masses of Ξ_{cc} , Ω_{cc} , and Ξ_{cb}^* , whereas, the relative discrepancies are in the tolerable ranges of the sum rule accuracy. Visually, the Borel curves for Ξ_{cc} , Ω_{cc} , and Ξ_{cb}^* are not very flat, but it is difficult to find much better sum rule windows. That's probably because the condensate contributions for them, which may play an important role in stabilizing the Borel curves, nearly vanished or are small. The stability of those three curves might be improved by including some higher dimension condensate contributions. For triply heavy baryons, one can find that our central values are lower than potential model predictions, in particular, for Ω_{bbb} , slightly more than 1 GeV, whereas the relative discrepancy approximates to 10%, which is still acceptable. In addition, our result for Ω_{ccc} agrees well with the lattice QCD value in Ref. [14], but the other comparisons for triply heavy baryons cannot be made for the absence of relevant lattice results by this time.

III. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In summary, we have studied the mass spectra of singly, doubly, and triply heavy baryons in the framework of full QCD sum rules and arrived at three conclusions in chief. First, our results for singly heavy baryons are well compatible with the existing experimental data. Second, the mass values for doubly heavy baryons are in reasonable accord with other predictions. Third, the numerical results for triply heavy baryons are lower than the predictions from potential models, nevertheless, the one for Ω_{ccc} is in good agreement with the lattice study.

Though enormous progress have been achieved in experimental and theoretical aspects for the heavy flavor baryons, there are still many problems desiderated to resolve. In experiment, it is worthy to point out that most of the J^P quantum numbers for the observed heavy baryons have not been determined, but are assigned by PDG on the basis of quark model predictions, which are looking forward to further experimental identification, particularly for some higher excited states. More data on singly bottom baryons and doubly heavy baryons, along with the evidence on triply heavy baryons are earnestly expected after the Large Hadron Collider startup, which may supply a gap of experimental data in the future. Theoretically, in order to improve on the accuracy of the QCD sum rule analysis for the heavy baryons, especially for triply heavy baryons, one needs to take into account the QCD $O(\alpha_s)$ corrections to the sum rules in the further

TABLE II: The mass spectra of charmed and bottom baryons (mass in unit of MeV except for “Our works”).

Baryon	J^P	S_ℓ	L_ℓ	$J_\ell^{P_\ell}$	Experiments [1, 2]	Our works (GeV) [32, 33]	Refs. [5]	Ref. [11]	Ref. [13]	Ref. [26]
Λ_c^+	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	2286.46 ± 0.14	2.31 ± 0.19	2297	2285	2290	2271_{-49}^{+67}
$\Lambda_c(2593)^+$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	0	1	1^-	2595.4 ± 0.6	2.53 ± 0.22	2598			
$\Lambda_c(2625)^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	0	1	1^-	2628.1 ± 0.6	2.58 ± 0.24	2628			
$\Sigma_c(2455)^0$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	2453.76 ± 0.18	2.40 ± 0.31	2439	2453	2452	2411_{-81}^{+93}
$\Sigma_c(2520)^0$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	2518.0 ± 0.5	2.56 ± 0.24	2518	2520	2538	2534_{-81}^{+96}
Ξ_c^0	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	2471.0 ± 0.4	2.48 ± 0.21	2481	2468	2473	2432_{-68}^{+79}
$\Xi_c(2790)^0$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	0	1	1^-	2791.9 ± 3.3	2.65 ± 0.27	2801			
$\Xi_c(2815)^0$	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	0	1	1^-	2818.2 ± 2.1	2.69 ± 0.29	2820			
$\Xi_c'^0$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	2578.0 ± 2.9	2.50 ± 0.29	2578	2580	2599	2508_{-91}^{+97}
$\Xi_c(2645)^0$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	2646.1 ± 1.2	2.64 ± 0.22	2654	2650	2680	2634_{-94}^{+102}
Ω_c^0	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	2697.5 ± 2.6	2.62 ± 0.29	2698	2710	2678	2657_{-99}^{+102}
$\Omega_c(2768)^0$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	2768.3 ± 3.0	2.74 ± 0.23	2768	2770	2752	2790_{-105}^{+109}
Λ_b	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	5619.7 ± 1.2 5624 ± 9	5.69 ± 0.13	5622	5620	5672	5637_{-56}^{+68}
Λ_{1b}	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	0	1	1^-		5.85 ± 0.15	5930			
Λ_{1b}^*	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	0	1	1^-		5.90 ± 0.16	5947			
Σ_b^+	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	$5807.8_{-2.2}^{+2.0} \pm 1.7$	5.73 ± 0.21	5805	5820	5847	5809_{-76}^{+82}
Σ_b^-					$5815.2 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.7$					
Σ_b^{*+}	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	$5829.0_{-1.8-1.8}^{+1.6+1.7}$	5.81 ± 0.19	5834	5850	5871	5835_{-77}^{+82}
Σ_b^{*-}					$5836.4 \pm 2.0_{-1.7}^{+1.8}$					
Ξ_b^0	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	$5792.9 \pm 2.5 \pm 1.7$	5.75 ± 0.13	5812	5810	5788	5780_{-68}^{+73}
Ξ_{1b}	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	0	1	1^-		5.95 ± 0.16	6119			
Ξ_{1b}^*	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	0	1	1^-		5.99 ± 0.17	6130			
Ξ_b'	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+		5.87 ± 0.20	5937	5950	5936	5903_{-79}^{+81}
$\Xi_b'^*$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+		5.94 ± 0.17	5963	5980	5959	5920_{-79}^{+83}
Ω_b	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	$6165 \pm 10 \pm 13$	5.89 ± 0.18	6065	6060	6040	6036 ± 81
Ω_b^*	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+		6.00 ± 0.16	6090	6090	6060	6063_{-82}^{+83}

TABLE III: The mass spectra of doubly heavy baryons (mass in unit of GeV).

Baryon	content	J^P	S_d	L_d	$J_d^{P_d}$	Our work [34]	Ref. [6]	Ref. [12]	Ref. [15]	Refs. [28]	Refs. [29]
Ξ_{cc}	$\{cc\}q$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	4.26 ± 0.19	3.620	3.676	3.520	3.55 ± 0.08	3.48 ± 0.06
Ξ_{cc}^*	$\{cc\}q$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	3.90 ± 0.10	3.727	3.746	3.63		3.58 ± 0.05
Ω_{cc}	$\{cc\}s$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	4.25 ± 0.20	3.778	3.787	3.619	3.65 ± 0.07	
Ω_{cc}^*	$\{cc\}s$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	3.81 ± 0.06	3.872	3.851	3.721		
Ξ_{bb}	$\{bb\}q$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	9.78 ± 0.07	10.202		10.272	10.00 ± 0.08	9.94 ± 0.91
Ξ_{bb}^*	$\{bb\}q$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	10.35 ± 0.08	10.237	10.398	10.337		10.33 ± 1.09
Ω_{bb}	$\{bb\}s$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	9.85 ± 0.07	10.359		10.369	10.09 ± 0.07	
Ω_{bb}^*	$\{bb\}s$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	10.28 ± 0.05	10.389	10.483	10.429		
Ξ_{cb}	$\{cb\}q$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	6.75 ± 0.05	6.933	7.053	6.838	6.79 ± 0.08	
Ξ_{cb}^*	$\{cb\}q$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	8.00 ± 0.26	6.980	7.083	6.986		
Ω_{cb}	$\{cb\}s$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	7.02 ± 0.08	7.088	7.148	6.941	6.89 ± 0.07	
Ω_{cb}^*	$\{cb\}s$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	7.54 ± 0.08	7.130	7.165	7.077		
Ξ_{cb}'	$[cb]q$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	6.95 ± 0.08	6.963	7.062	7.028		6.44 ± 0.19
Ω_{cb}'	$[cb]s$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	7.02 ± 0.08	7.116	7.151	7.116		

TABLE IV: The mass spectra of triply heavy baryons (mass in unit of GeV).

Baryon	quark content	J^P	S_d	L_d	$J_d^{P_d}$	Our work [35]	Ref. [8]	Ref. [9]	Ref. [10]	Ref. [14]	Ref. [16]
Ω_{ccc}	$\{cc\}c$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	4.67 ± 0.15	4.803	4.79	4.925	4.681	4.76
Ω_{bbb}	$\{bb\}b$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	13.28 ± 0.10	14.569	14.30	14.760		14.37
Ω_{ccb}	$\{cc\}b$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	7.41 ± 0.13	8.018				
Ω_{ccb}^*	$\{cc\}b$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	7.45 ± 0.16	8.025	8.03	8.200		7.98
Ω_{bbc}	$\{bb\}c$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	10.30 ± 0.10	11.280				
Ω_{bbc}^*	$\{bb\}c$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1	0	1^+	10.54 ± 0.11	11.287	11.20	11.480		11.19
Ω_{ccb}'	$[cc]b$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	7.49 ± 0.10					
Ω_{bbc}'	$[bb]c$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0	0	0^+	10.35 ± 0.07					

work. Additionally, it is interesting to carry out a comprehensive study on triply heavy baryon spectra from lattice QCD for the future.

Acknowledgments

J. R. Z. is very indebted to B. L. Ioffe and Yuan-Ben Dai for the current communications and helpful discussions, and J. R. Z. is also very grateful to M. Nielsen for helpful communications on doubly heavy baryons. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Contract No.10675167.

-
- [1] M. Mattson *et al.*, (SELEX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 112001 (2002); A. Ocherashvili *et al.*, (SELEX Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **628**, 18 (2005); D. Acosta *et al.*, (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 202001 (2006); R. Chistov *et al.*, (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 162001 (2006); B. Aubert *et al.*, (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 232001 (2006); V. Abazov *et al.*, (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 052001 (2007); T. Aaltonen *et al.*, (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 052002 (2007); B. Aubert *et al.*, (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 062001 (2007); T. Aaltonen *et al.*, (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 202001 (2007); B. Aubert *et al.*, (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **77**, 012002 (2008); V. Abazov *et al.*, (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 232002 (2008); E. Solovieva *et al.*, (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **672**, 1 (2009).
- [2] C. Amsler *et al.*, (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B **667**, 1 (2008).
- [3] M. A. Doncheski, J. Steegborn, and M. L. Stong, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 1247 (1996); J. P. Ma and Z. G. Si, Phys. Lett. B **568**, 135 (2003); C. H. Chang, J. X. Wang, and X. G. Wu, Computer Physics Communications **177**, 467 (2007); C. H. Chang, J. P. Ma, C. F. Qiao, and X. G. Wu, J. Phys. G **34**, 845 (2007).
- [4] M. A. Gomshi Nobary, Phys. Lett. B **559**, 239 (2003); M. A. Gomshi Nobary and R. Sepahvand, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 034024 (2005); Nucl. Phys. B **741**, 34 (2006); Phys. Rev. D **76**, 114006 (2007).
- [5] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 034026 (2005); Phys. Lett. B **659**, 612 (2008).
- [6] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin, and A. P. Martynenko, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 014008 (2002).
- [7] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 2809 (1986); D. U. Matrasulov, M. M. Musakhanov, and T. Morii, Phys. Rev. C **61**, 045204 (2000); S. S. Gershtein, V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, and A. I. Onishchenko, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 054021 (2000); V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, O. N. Pakhomova, and V. A. Saleev, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 034030 (2002); J. Vijande, H. Garcilazo, A. Valcarce, and F. Fernández, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 054022 (2004).
- [8] A. P. Martynenko, Phys. Lett. B **663**, 317 (2008).
- [9] P. Hasenfratz, R. R. Horgan, J. Kuti, and J. M. Richard, Phys. Lett. B **94**, 401 (1980).
- [10] J. D. Bjorken, Preprint FERMILAB-Conf-85-069.
- [11] R. Roncaglia, D. B. Lichtenberg, and E. Predazzi, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1722 (1995).

- [12] D. B. Lichtenberg, R. Roncaglia, and E. Predazzi, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 6678 (1996).
- [13] N. Mathur, R. Lewis, and R. M. Woloshyn, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 014502 (2002).
- [14] T. W. Chiu and T. H. Hsieh, Nucl. Phys. A **755**, 471c (2005).
- [15] D. H. He, K. Qian, Y. B. Ding, X. Q. Li, and P. N. Shen, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 094004 (2004).
- [16] Y. Jia, JHEP **0610**, 073 (2006).
- [17] F. Giannuzzi, arXiv:0902.4624.
- [18] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B147**, 385 (1979); **B147**, 448 (1979).
- [19] M. A. Shifman, Vacuum Structure and QCD Sum Rules, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1992; B. L. Ioffe, in “The spin structure of the nucleon”, edited by B. Frois, V. W. Hughes, N. de Groot, World Scientific (1997), arXiv:9511401; S. Narison, QCD Spectral Sum Rules, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989; L. J. Reinders, H. R. Rubinstein, and S. Yazaki, Phys. Rep. **127**, 1 (1985).
- [20] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, in: M. Shifman (Ed.), At the Frontier of Particle Physics: Handbook of QCD, vol. 3, Boris Ioffe Festschrift, World Scientific, Singapore, 2001, pp. 1495-1576, arXiv:0010175; A. Khodjamirian, talk given at Continuous Advances in QCD 2002/ARKADYFEST, arXiv:0209166.
- [21] B. L. Ioffe, Nucl. Phys. **B188**, 317 (1981); **B191**, 591(E) (1981); Z. Phys. C **18**, 67 (1983).
- [22] E. V. Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. **B198**, 83 (1982).
- [23] A. G. Grozin and O. I. Yakovlev, Phys. Lett. B **285**, 254 (1992); B **291**, 441 (1992).
- [24] S. Groote, J. G. Körner, and O. I. Yakovlev, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 3016 (1997).
- [25] Y. B. Dai, C. S. Huang, C. Liu, C. D. Lü, Phys. Lett. B **371**, 99 (1996); J. P. Lee, C. Liu, H. S. Song, Phys. Lett. B **476**, 303 (2000); C. S. Huang, A. L. Zhang, S. L. Zhu, Phys. Lett. B **492**, 288 (2000).
- [26] X. Liu, H. X. Chen, Y. R. Liu, A. Hosaka, and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 014031 (2008).
- [27] D. W. Wang, M. Q. Huang, and C. Z. Li, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 094036 (2002); D. W. Wang, M. Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 074025 (2003); D. W. Wang, M. Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 034019 (2003).
- [28] V. V. Kiselev and A. I. Onishchenko, Nucl. Phys. **B581**, 432 (2000); V. V. Kiselev and A. E. Kovalsky, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 014002 (2001).
- [29] E. Bagan, M. Chabab, H. G. Dosch, and S. Narison, Phys. Lett. B **278**, 367 (1992); B **287**, 176 (1992); E. Bagan, M. Chabab, and S. Narison, Phys. Lett. B **306**, 350 (1993).
- [30] F. O. Durães, M. Nielsen, Phys. Lett. B **658**, 40 (2007); Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **54**, 231 (2008).
- [31] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, and A. Ozpineci, arXiv:0811.3300; Nucl. Phys. **B808**, 137 (2009).
- [32] J. R. Zhang and M. Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 094002 (2008).
- [33] J. R. Zhang and M. Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 094007 (2008).
- [34] J. R. Zhang and M. Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 094015 (2008).
- [35] J. R. Zhang and M. Q. Huang, Phys. Lett. B **674**, 28 (2009).
- [36] S. Narison, Phys. Lett. B **605**, 319 (2005).